

CYBER SAFETY REVIEW BOARD: FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The Cyber Safety Review Board (CSRB) conducts reviews of significant cybersecurity incidents so that government, industry, and the broader security community can better protect our nation's networks and infrastructure. After conducting fact-finding, the Board issues actionable recommendations based on the lessons learned from each incident.

What is the Board's composition?

The Board's membership includes the federal government's leads for cybersecurity from across multiple agencies and cybersecurity experts from the private sector. In order to fully leverage broad-ranging experience and education, the Board must be diverse with regard to professional and technical expertise. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary has delegated to the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) Director the authority to appoint standing members of CSRB. The CISA Director makes appointments in coordination with the DHS Under Secretary for Policy.

Who is eligible to be a Board member?

To be eligible to serve on CSRB, members and subcommittee members must be U.S. citizens and be able to obtain a security clearance. Private sector members serve as Special Government Employees (SGEs) and are subject to Federal ethics requirements, including compliance with financial disclosure programs and annual ethics training. The private sector members serve in their personal capacity, and, as such, are expected to bring independent expertise to CSRB rather than reflecting or representing the equities of any current or previous employer.

How does the Board determine which incidents to review?

CYBER SAFETY REVIEW BOARD

Only the President, DHS Secretary, or CISA Director have the authority to task CSRB to review a cyber incident or event, as they deem necessary. Additionally, the DHS Secretary shall convene CSRB following a significant cyber incident triggering the U.S. government's establishment of a Cyber Unified Coordination Group. Factors that the DHS Secretary and CISA Director consider when deciding what matters to task for the Board's review include:

- the severity and impact of an incident;
- the degree to which the incident exposed weaknesses in the broader cybersecurity ecosystem, such that there
 are likely to be significant and new lessons learned that will enable the broader community to elevate its
 security;
- the degree to which the Board can shed new light on an incident and its lessons learned, taking into account whether others have already analyzed the incident at length in the public arena;
- whether a review will likely lead to a better understanding of issues that will tangibly drive better security outcomes in this country; and
- any other factor that would make a review in the public and national interest.

What are the ethics rules that govern the Board, including recusals, to ensure there are no conflicts of interest?

All CSRB members are required to adhere to federal laws establishing ethics standards for federal employees. This includes private sector members, who are serving in their personal capacities as SGEs. All members are required to submit extensive financial disclosure reports and must report any potential conflicts of interest. For reviews in which a CSRB member may have a potentially conflicting interest—such as reviews that involve examinations of their employers' products or those of competitors, or reviews where members have financial interests relating to matters under consideration—the member will be recused from participating in that specific review after determination by career DHS

ethics counsel. For members who recuse or are excepted from participating in certain areas of a review where a conflict of interest arises, procedures are in place to ensure the integrity of CSRB's formal findings and recommendations.

Which matters has the Board reviewed to date and why?

To date, CSRB has competed two reviews and is currently conducting a third review.

- In its first review, CSRB reviewed the vulnerabilities discovered in late 2021 in the widely used Log4j software library. These vulnerabilities presented an urgent challenge to network defenders and remain one of the most serious vulnerabilities discovered in recent years. The White House and DHS determined that focusing on this vulnerability and its associated remediation process was the most important first use of CSRB's expertise.
- In its second review, CSRB reviewed the attacks associated with Lapsus\$, a global extortion-focused hacker group that perpetrated damaging intrusions against multiple critical infrastructure sectors, including healthcare, government facilities, and critical manufacturing. The range of victims and diversity of tactics used demanded an understanding of how Lapsus\$ actors executed their malicious cyber activities so as to mitigate risk to potential future victims.
- In its third review, CSRB is reviewing the Microsoft Exchange Online intrusion publicized in July 2023, including
 the malicious access and use of cloud-based identity and authentication infrastructure. As cloud security is the
 backbone of some of the Nation's most critical systems, and organizations of all kinds are increasingly reliant
 on cloud computing to deliver services to the American people, it is imperative to understand the vulnerabilities
 of this technology and how they were exploited in this incident.

How are CSRB recommendations implemented?

CSRB does not have regulatory powers and is not an enforcement authority. Its purpose is to identify relevant lessons learned to inform future improvements and better protect our communities. CSRB is an advisory board that provides recommendations to the DHS Secretary based on findings from its reviews.

Though the Board does not implement recommendations, there have been notable impacts from the first two reviews. As recently as December 2023, Cloudflare reported that Log4j remained a top target for attacks in 2023, validating CSRB's finding for its initial review that the vulnerability would be endemic. CSRB's second review into the Lapsus\$ hacker group has remained timely as September 2023 attacks on Caesars and MGM leveraged similar techniques. Furthermore, in November 2023, the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) adopted rules protecting consumers from SIM swap attacks, with Chairwoman Jessica Rosenworcel noting that FCC was adopting CSRB's recommendation from the second review that FCC "take action to support consumer privacy and cut off these scams."

Has the cybersecurity community been willing to engage with the Board?

During the course of CSRB's first two substantive reviews, individuals representing approximately 100 companies and other organizations engaged with the Board and provided valuable information to inform its findings and recommendations. To date, CSRB has engaged with victim companies, academic institutions, threat intelligence firms, incident response firms, security researchers, international law enforcement and cybersecurity agencies, U.S. government agencies, foreign government representatives, critical infrastructure owners and operators, and industry associations, among others.

Does CSRB have subpoena authority?

No. Under its current organization and authorities, CSRB relies on voluntary cooperation from the organizations that have relevant information about the events under the review. The Administration has endorsed legislation that would give CSRB additional authorities, including a limited subpoena authority for information that the Board is not able to obtain through voluntary cooperation. Under this proposed legislation only federal members—and not private sector members under any circumstances—would be permitted to vote on issuance of a subpoena.