

# **CFATS Risk-Based Performance Standards** (RBPS) 12iv – Screening for Terrorist Ties

The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency's (CISA) Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) program identifies and works with high-risk facilities to ensure they have security measures in place to reduce the risk of more than 300 chemicals of interest (COI) being weaponized. High-risk facilities are assigned to one of four risk-based tiers and must develop a security plan meeting the 18 risk-based performance standards (RBPS) criteria. Facilities flexibility to select measures tailored to their tier level and unique circumstances.



## RBPS 12 - Personnel Surety at a Glance

RBPS 12 - Personnel Surety—performing background checks on and ensuring appropriate credentials for facility personnel and unescorted visitors who have or are seeking access to restricted areas and critical assets—is a key aspect of facility security. These checks include measures designed to:

- i. Verify and validate identity
- iii. Verify and validate legal authorization to work

ii. Check criminal history

iv. Identify people with terrorist ties

Parts i-iii of RBPS 12 have been in effect since the inception of CFATS at all high-risk chemical facilities of all tiers. Security measures range from simple employment screening to comprehensive investigations to check criminal history.

### RBPS 12(iv) - Screening for Terrorist Ties

Part iv—screening for terrorist ties (implemented through the CFATS Personnel Surety Program [PSP]) —has been in effect since 2015 at Tier 1 and Tier 2 facilities. On July 9, 2019, a notice was published in the Federal Register (84 FR 32768) on the implementation of the PSP at all high-risk facilities—including Tier 3 and Tier 4 facilities. PSP implementation will be completed in a phased approach, so facilities do not need to take any action until contacted by CISA.



## RBPS 12(iv) and the Personnel Surety Program (PSP)

To comply with the CFATS PSP, high-risk facilities may choose one or more of the following four options, or propose additional options of their own design for approval on a case-by-case basis.

Option	Considerations for security plan
Option 1 - Direct Vetting: Facilities (or their designees) may submit certain information about affected individuals to CISA (via the PSP application in the Chemical Security Assessment Tool [CSAT]) to be compared against information about known or suspected terrorists.	How will the facility provide notice to affected individuals?
	affected individual information?
Option 2 - Use of Vetting Conducted Under	How will the facility provide notice to affected individuals?
their DHS Programs: Facilities (or their designees) may submit information to DHS (via the PSP application in CSAT) about an affected	What vetting programs allowable under this option (TWIC, HME program, Trusted Traveler programs) will be selected?
individual's enrollment in the Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC),	Which type of affected individuals will be utilizing the program (e.g., drivers, visitors, maintenance workers)?
Hazardous Materials Endorsement (HME)	

Option	Considerations for security plan
program, or certain Trusted Traveler programs. DHS will electronically verify their current enrollment. These programs conduct checks for terrorist ties equivalent to the direct vetting performed under Option 1.	What procedures will the facility follow when an affected individual's enrollment cannot be verified by DHS?
	What procedures will the facility follow when an affected individual's enrollment can no longer be verified? <sup>1</sup>
	What is the timespan for follow-on action if DHS is unable to verify an affected individual is enrolled in other vetting programs or the affected individual's status changes? <sup>2</sup>
Option 3 - Electronic Verification of TWIC: Facilities (or their designees) may use electronic readers, such as TWIC readers, to verify the validity of the affected individual's current enrollment in the TWIC program.	How will the facility provide notice to affected individuals?
	What procedures does the facility follow to electronically verify the affected individual's TWIC (e.g., TWIC reader, Physical Access Control Systems (PACS)?
	What other security features of the TWIC does the facility leverage (e.g., biometric verification)?
	How frequently will the facility revalidate the TWIC?
	Does the facility conduct visual validation along with electronic validation of TWIC credentials? If so, what methods are used for visual verification?
	What procedures will the facility follow when an affected individual's TWIC cannot be verified?
Option 4 - Visual Verification: Facilities may visually verify a document or credential issued to an affected individual by a Federal screening program that periodically vets individuals against the Terrorist Screening Database (TSDB).	How will the facility provide notice to affected individuals?
	Does the facility maintain a policy of which documents or credentials are acceptable for visual verification?
	What specific procedures will the facility follow to visually verify the document or credential?
	What will the facility do if unable to visually verify a document or credential?
	NOTE: High-risk chemical facilities should carefully consider the security tradeoffs when considering this option.



### **Tools and Resources**

- PSP Federal Register notice (84 FR 32768); www.federalregister.gov/d/2019-14591
- PSP Federal Register notice (83 FR 28244): www.federalregister.gov/d/2018-12523
- RBPS 12(iv) Personnel Surety Program: www.cisa.gov/cfats-personnel-surety-program
- PSP Toolkit: www.cisa.gov/publication/cfats-psp-toolkit
- RBPS 12 Personnel Surety: www.cisa.gov/rbps-12-personnel-surety
- RBPS Guidance: www.cisa.gov/publication/cfats-rbps-guidance
- Chemical Security Assessment Tool (CSAT): <a href="https://www.cisa.gov/chemical-security-assessment-tool">www.cisa.gov/chemical-security-assessment-tool</a>
- CFATS Resources: www.cisa.gov/cfats-resources



## **Contact Information**

For questions, email <u>CFATS@hq.dhs.gov</u> or visit <u>www.cisa.gov/risk-based-performance-standards</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The PSP application provides the high-risk chemical facility (or designee) the status of records about affected individuals submitted under Option 2 (i.e., pending verification, not verified, verified, no longer verified). Only records initially "Verified" can be subsequently updated to "No Longer Verified" when the PSP application periodically re-verifies the affected individual's enrollment. The PSP application does not display why the affected individual is no longer enrolled, only that the affected individual is no longer enrolled in the other DHS programs and thus no longer being checked for terrorist ties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The PSP application allows facilities to automatically revert **Option 2** affected individuals to **Option 1** if DHS is unable to verify an affected individual's enrollment in the designated program, allowing for immediate action to address this question.